

Agenda Item 2



Title	Doncaster Safeguarding Adults Board		
Date	27th November 2017		Time 13:00 - 16:30
Location	Civic Office, Meeting Room 007a/b		
Present	Name	Job Title	Organisation
JW	Dr John Woodhouse	Independent Chair	Doncaster Safeguarding Adults Board
AC	Angelique Choppin	Safeguarding Adults Board Manager	Doncaster Safeguarding Adults Board
KH	Katy Hogan (representing Luke Shepherd)		South Yorkshire Community Rehabilitation Company
GJ	Griff Jones	Assistant Director Adult Social Care	Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council
JC	Jo Carver (representing Dan Thorpe)		South Yorkshire Police
RF	Rosie Faulkner	Safeguarding Children Board Manager	Doncaster Safeguarding Children's Board
SM	Sally MacDonald	Head of Provision and Support for Students with LDD	Doncaster College
AR	Andrew Russell	Chief Nurse	Doncaster Clinical Commissioning Group
AG	Andrew Goodall	Chief Operating Officer	Healthwatch Doncaster
JL	Julie Lodge	Associate Nurse Director for Children & Young People's Care Group	RDaSH
RD	Richard Dickinson	Acting Deputy Director of Nursing, Midwifery and Quality	Doncaster & Bassetlaw Teaching Hospital
DE	David Eckersley	Head of Service, Adults and Communities	Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council
IB	Ian Boldy	Designated Nurse	Doncaster Clinical Commissioning Group
PT	Paul Tanney	Chief Executive	St Leger Homes
HP	Hayley Peek	Healthcare Business Partner	HMP Doncaster
BH	Bill Hotchkiss	Head of Service Community Safety	Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council

Agenda Item 2

NWG	Niall Werrett-Garfitt	Minute Taker	Doncaster Safeguarding Adults Board
Apologies			
DA	Damian Allen	Director of People	Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council
DT	Daniel Thorpe	Chief Superintendent	South Yorkshire Police
RB	Rachael Blake	Councillor	Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council
JM	Jo Miller	Chief Executive	Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council
SA	Sally Adegbembo	Head of Probation for Doncaster and Rotherham	National Probation Service
Agenda Item	Issues		Actions Required by & timeframes
1	<u>Introduction and Apologies – JW</u> JW provided introductions. Apologies are noted above.		
2	<u>Minutes of the Previous Meeting – JW</u> The minutes were agreed as an accurate record.		
3	<u>Action Log - AC</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (08.03.2017) 8.1 – IB to take the Template Guidance for Organisations document to the risk meeting and share with CQC. Waiting for the revised document to be approved, this was agreed in the last meeting. • (08.03.2017) 8.2 – DSCB/DSAB Information Sharing Agreement, AC and RF to seek approval DSCB and signatures RDASH's signature is missing. JL said the document has been sent for signatures and will chase this up. • (22.05.2017) 4.1 – AR and RF to take the LeDeR programme for discussion at the Safeguarding Children's Board. This action is complete. It was agreed that the programme will involve the children's services and go across to the child's death overview panel and ensure no duplication when possible. • (22.05.2017) 5.1 – JW will attend the next National Chairs meeting and feedback conversations from that meeting to the Prepare group. 		

Agenda Item 2

	<p>JW attended the meeting and informed the Board the most significant find at the meeting was work nationally related to people in the trust. Update – JW will provide an update at the next Board meeting after attending the chairs meeting on Thursday 30th November.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (22.05.2017) 6.1 – DA to bring back to the next Board, reasonable assurance that the Safeguarding Adults Hub is working in a safe way and to identify development for its functioning which includes the Police service. DA brought his feedback to the last Board. It was noted that there was more work around the potential scoping of future models. This action is now complete.• (22.05.2017) 7.1 – KJ to make further written comments on the Self-Neglect and Hoarding policy and will send these through to AC. KJ has provided her consultation on the policy and the revised version will be presented in this meeting. This is complete.• (22.05.2017) 7.2 – KJ to attend regional ADASS group on Friday and ask for the best practice and direct teams tell to other groups (DCSB and SSDP) and bring back to the Prepare group. This action is now complete.• (22.05.2017) 7.3 – CM to meet with AH to discuss this training further regarding the Safeguarding Adults Workforce Strategy and Joint competency framework. DT is advising on the workforce strategy, developed in line with all the partners. Now moved to the core principles. Update – AC to circulate to PT.• (04.09.2017) 4.1 – DA to facilitate a conversation between LS and with Chris Marsh about complex lives service engagement It was noted that they were unable to contact Chris Marsh. Update – AC will attempt to contact Chris and organise a meeting.• (04.09.2017) 4.2 – A review of the scoping needed for MASH work between the 3 core agencies is to be done before the next Prepare group meeting. This will need to be between DC, AR, AC, Leanne Hornsby, Assistant Director of Children’s, DT and IB. This meeting took place on the 8th November. Plans came out to engage other agencies for inclusion and the group would be led by JW.	
--	--	--

Agenda Item 2

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• (04.09.2017) 6.2 – PT is to send the current figures of homelessness to AC to add to the performance summary AC informed the Board that the homelessness figures will start to populate the next performance summary in Q3 with figures starting from Oct 17. Update – PT to forward information through to NWG to circulate to Board members and include in the summary.• (04.09.2017) 6.3 – The Board is to discuss the SYP’s suggested indicator for the performance summary at the next meeting as well as arrange for JL to provide a paper on the issues what is addressed, and the risks. This is in relation to S136 reports that are on the agenda in this Board.	
4	<p><u>DSAB Strategic Areas</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Complex Lives Service Presentation PH went through the presentation with the Board. The priorities are around looking at people with complex needs. PH also provided hand-outs that were included in the Board papers. <p><u>Operational features – Complex Lives team</u> PH said this is a co-hort of 101 people and is growing daily with work starting on Public Space Protection Order (PSPO). PH said they are also looking at a new team manager role, 3 complex lives specialists and 3 navigators.</p> <p>There was a discussion around the issues of homelessness with PH noting the need to motivate homeless individuals to break their lifestyle of rough sleeping, drinking, drugs and crime where applicable. Assertive outreach workers in the team are making the initial connections and contacts.</p> <p><u>Support/enabling features</u> PH told the Board that the Governance and Strategic group are driving the whole process forward.</p> <p><u>Complex Lives basic journey</u> PH said there is no formal referral process for this and work mainly comes from Assertive Outreach workers, notifications from Police, partners, RDaSH and Aspire drugs and alcohol services. PH said the process involves multiple partners and a variance of issues, particularly around accommodation and health. The Complex Lives team have a specific single support action plan and everyone in the co-hort has multi-disciplinary meeting looking at specific issues and fitting solutions for individuals.</p>	

Agenda Item 2

	<p><u>Early successes: example case</u> PH discussed about the example case on this slide, noting a positive outcome. PH expressed his thanks to everyone who had been involved in the case and invited people to join in with work going on in the team.</p> <p><u>Questions/Comments</u> DE asked how many people in the teams cases have enduring mental health issues – PH said this depends on what is defined as a mental health issue, citing that the majority of people don't meet the threshold, but the team are looking at doing more around mental health support.</p> <p>JW noted that many people who come to safeguarding have psychological dysfunctions that might not meet the criteria but these are still issues that cause problems for vulnerable adults. This leads to people falling between services and their needs to be better understood.</p> <p>PH said the team are currently engaging around 15 women, some are in a relationship, but the team are mostly engaging men at the moment.</p> <p>KH expressed interest in discussing how CRC and the Complex Lives team can work together. The Board discussed work regarding prisons, with JC citing this as positive at the prevent level. It was noted that the STLH's director was involved in helping with this as well, hoping that the homelessness reduction action should have an impact on this.</p> <p><u>Summary</u> JW thanked PH for attending and the Board agreed for PH to come back in 6 months' time to provide an update and any challenges or issues not being addressed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Safeguarding Peer Review Presentation AC went through the presentation with everyone and informed the group of the recommendations following the review. <p>Overall, following the peer review, 10 recommendations have been made in total.</p> <p><u>Next steps</u> These have been disseminated to all Board members prior to this meeting.</p>	
--	---	--

Agenda Item 2

	<p><u>Questions and comments</u> Another partnership Board was mentioned for future considerations and it was recommended that JW and the chairs of that Board consider integration.</p> <p>Following the presentation, the Board had discussed some of the recommendations: <u>1 – Consider establishing a regular meeting with Chairs of the key strategic Boards to facilitate effective joint working in areas of mutual interest.</u> JW will discuss this recommendation with colleagues and key allies. DCSB currently does this but RF noted these aren't regular meetings. JW will develop this, citing the need to align processes in order to make the systems work as smoothly as possible.</p> <p><u>3 – Audit tools should be refined to include how future safeguarding risks are prevented, and how safeguarding activity has promoted resolution and recovery when abuse or neglect has occurred. This should apply to both the multi-agency safeguarding case file and any audit of cases exiting the process before a s.42 enquiry, particularly where there are repeated 'concerns'.</u> AC said the audit focuses on what Adi asked for last time. The Board were informed that currently there is a regular audit programme twice a year. The next step is to include resolution and recovery; and the next audit will broaden the scope. There will be a working group lead by AW to look at the audit tool currently being used.</p> <p><u>4 – Explore options for practice development, such as detailed in the MSP Toolkit, asset and strength based approaches, including 'signs of safety' (SOS), to support Making Safeguarding Personal.</u> JL is supportive of using SOS model, which is well established in the children's trust and a high number of staff attend meetings on this with JL also citing that it goes well with the care approach programme and that RDaSH's templates are designed around this. GJ advised looking at the SOS model before going forward. After a discussion, the Board agreed on a project plan for the next Board meeting. The Board agreed SOS is something they would like to develop across adults safeguarding. GJ and AC are to lead this task, with help from external agencies. The Family Group conferencing team and Community's team were cited as good starting points. The Board also agreed for Action – AC to develop a draft action plan on implementing the SOS model in Safeguarding Adults for the next Board meeting.</p> <p><u>5 – DMBC Adult Safeguarding Policy should be adopted and disseminated, and used to support improved effectiveness and cross team working on adult safeguarding. Regular review (six monthly or</u></p>	<p>AC</p>
--	---	-----------

Agenda Item 2

	<p><u>annually) would evidence whether further work is needed to ensure people are properly and seamlessly supported, staff are competent and that the DMBC is meeting its statutory requirements.</u> It was noted that not everything is assigned yet. The policy will be reviewed in terms of procedures.</p> <p><u>6 – The Principal Social Worker and training colleagues should ensure that front line staff are trained and supported to deliver effective adult safeguarding across all services, through a range of mechanisms, particularly reflecting on any regional or national learning.</u> GJ told the Board the new Principal Social Worker is Ailsa Benn. JW has extended an invitation for Ailsa to come to the next Board meeting citing that it will be important to promote her work.</p> <p><u>7 – Trained and trusted provider staff should be supported to undertake s.42 enquiries, supported by the DSAH (Doncaster Safeguarding Adults Hub).</u> JW noted a problem with this. AC has met with the DSAH to put this in place, but they lack the resources to arrange for a member of staff to send forms out and facilitate the process. Action – DE and AC to discuss recommendation 7, and provide an update at the next Board meeting.</p> <p><u>10 – Training and support should be developed for staff to improve their confidence and capabilities in areas such as working with people who are experiencing coercive or controlling behaviour, as well as raising awareness of financial vulnerabilities and developing financial literacy.</u> The Board had a discussion about this recommendation and it was cited that the Learning and Development Manager will need to look at this. BH noted that Andrea Hamshaw's work that will reflect in the reports.</p> <p>JW asked about MASH – DE said it has been found to be useful and provides a road map for taking things forward, and the DSAB are well placed to have a MASH due to the positive information sharing. Though some cultural barriers were noted that will need to be overcome and they will need to find ways of working together despite personnel changes, but the foundations are there and can be embedded further. JW said they are moving on to next level and is interested in the comments about cultural issues hear how this is developing.</p> <p>GJ noted issues trying to get buy in from other partners and JW offered to assist with this. IB is currently offering help during the rollout.</p> <p>JW said the issues of staff turnover will always be an issue. Greater stability leads to improved inter-organisational trust, understanding and communication.</p>	<p>DE, AC</p>
--	---	---------------

Agenda Item 2

• **Safeguarding in Prisons – HP**

HP provided an overview and explained the fragmentation of the prison systems. A gap was noted between the public and private prisons, HP is from SERCO. They manage Doncaster's largest prison which holds around 1,150 generally, but this number regularly fluctuates. HP told the Board that the challenges the prisons face depend on the types of offenders that come into the prison. HP said a high percentage of the prison population have mental health and / or learning disabilities, with around 20 to 30% of inmates having learning disabilities in prisons. HP said that SERCO has changed Health care providers and that has affected how data is recorded.

HP said that one of the new challenges they face is the growing aging population. This is due to longer sentences and the historical sexual abuse cases. HP explained that prisons were never built for the older population. HMP Doncaster has a social care unit which helps to house individuals with wheelchairs but this unit isn't region wide yet.

HP said they are starting to drive safeguarding forward in SERCO prisons and there are 6 mandated actions for prisons to follow with many of these around having policies and procedures in place. Engaging with DSAB, they are looking to merge the policies procedures across all 4 local prisons.

Questions & comments

BH noted a group he is involved in looking to psychoactive substances which has prison representatives and asked if these substances are having an impact on mental health issues in HMP. HP said they are and they have a higher prevalence in smoke free prisons. They are looking at harm, minimisation and taking this forward expressing interest in the group BH's mentioned.

HP said they hope to finalise the policies, then work together with the other prisons, aiming in the future to have agreed SAB representation arrangements so only 1 person will need to attend Boards in Doncaster for information sharing.

HP said that training offered from the Board to the prisons so far has been useful and they now want to look at addressing more complex cases. In particular understanding what needs to be done to preventing people from returning to prison.

After a discussion about coercive and controlling behaviour issues in prisons, HP said she may bring

Agenda Item 2

	some case studies to the next Board for reviewing.	
5	<p><u>Chairs Report - JW</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Prepare Group Chairs Highlight Report Current work against the Boards strategic plan is progressing well. The workforce strategy is not on the agenda for this Board meeting but will be presented at the next Board with JW citing the need for engagement from DSCB to verify if they are happy with the core principles. Action – RF to clarify if Ben Brown will be recommending agreement.• S136 Report JC presented a report DT's place and explained about S136's to the Board. Changes to the legislation will mean S136's will cover a wider area of concerns with the new legislation coming into force on the 11th December. JC went through the key changes with the Board, and provided explanations on each change, including:<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Changes to the search procedure were mentioned for police searching a person for weapons.• Restraint and custody <p>SYP were working across boards to ensure that this transition was executed safely. JC stated that SYP don't feel that partners are ready for the changes coming on the 11th December. The report had 3 key recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• To acknowledge the likelihood that S136 detentions will increase as police officers can utilise the power anywhere except private dwellings.• To acknowledge that it is very unlikely that police stations will ever be used as a place of safety for someone detained under S136, which will place an added demand on health-based places of safety, including emergency departments – can they cope? Even now police officers experience difficulties in getting access to health based places of safety.• That DSAB Performance Sub Group monitor compliance with this area of business and any issues re access to Mental Health.	RF

Agenda Item 2

	<p>SYP feels the response of health service was not adequate and there is no lawful capability to care for people detained under S136 in the police cells. TS asked which part of NHS England this will report into. TS agreed to find out what is happening in different parts of the service. AR recommended SYP contact the health and justice team.</p> <p>JW asked how the health service can help SYP organise a plan of action before the 11th December.</p> <p>The Board agreed that a report on the lessons learned during the implementation needs to come to the next meeting.</p> <p>JW summarised: there is concern that there will not be adequate capacity to safely hold persons subject to S136 once the new regulations come into effect. There was a lack of an escalation process, which AR said can be managed. JC said SYP would like a formal escalation process through the Mental Health partnership board.</p> <p>Action – JW will write a letter expressing concerns to NHS and social care partners about the changes to the S136 regulations and ask them to ensure that safe arrangements are in place before the 11 December.</p>	<p>JW</p>
<p>6</p>	<p><u>Governance</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Challenge Log – JW <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 03/11/2016 – 3 MSP is proceeding with no issues. ○ 22/05/2017 – 1 There was good feedback regarding the Doncaster Safeguarding Adults Hub visit and there have been talks about safeguarding and workforce competencies. • Self-Assessment and challenge evaluation report – AC AC went through the themes and trends with the group noting that all partners were well engaged in the process. JW asked partners how they found the process; JL said from an RDaSH perspective it was a positive experience and good to recognise the shared agendas. SM repeated the positive 	

Agenda Item 2

	<p>feedback from the college perspective who found it useful. IB said CCG were comfortable with the questioning and challenging. RD said it was a good experience for DBTH; and a good mechanism to discuss what is going on. The Board agreed that the themes are highly relevant and appropriate.</p> <p>The Board has agreed for the model to be continued every 2 years to allow partners to implement any actions or improvements identified. AC and RF will look at where they can improve the process.</p> <p>JL asked about the possibility of a South Yorkshire wide approach, citing it would be good to investigate in more depth. JW will talk to RF and AC about the steps moving forward.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Performance Summary – AC AC picked out the key highlights for the Performance Summary as stated in the briefing. AC noted that some systems tweaks will help refine data moving forward. <p>The majority of cases asking people to define outcomes wanted were fully or partially met.</p> <p>Repeat S42 enquiries have reduced. The key indicator is a large difference from Q1 on the number of SG09 concerns awaiting decisions which in Q2 was 196 due to the low level concerns now coming through to the hub who are looking at how to deal with them as issues around resources have been raised.</p> <p>There has been a reduction in physical and neglect concerns since Q1 but an increase in psychological and financial abuse.</p> <p>The Board discussed about financial abuse and it was noted that this came through from South Yorkshire Police who fed back verbally, agreeing that this may be another piece of work to develop.</p> <p>There is 1 multiagency audit exception that is related to the information system CareFirst.</p> <p>AG recommended counting care homes and other care providers separately from voluntary/private in the concerns by referrer section on themes 5.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Risk Register<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ PSG 6 MCA/DOLs is the significant risk at present and is currently being addressed, GJ said they are looking	
--	--	--

Agenda Item 2

	<p>at further assurance around the process, finding it challenging but not deteriorating.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revised Budget 2017/18 The Board will be looking at the budget in early 2018 to make efficiencies moving forward. AC said that £70,000 is in the contingency for this year, and there has been no need to use this as of yet. AR recommended keeping this as there may be projects that could use some of this as funding and the Board agreed for this to be discussed at the next prepare group. JW suggested AR write to partners with this as well. <p><u>Position statement</u> The Keeping Safe Event will commence on the 1st December and has been led by the community for this year's planning. More information of the costs of this event will be in the Q3 budget statement.</p>	
7	<p><u>Procedural docs/SARs – for approval/sign off</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Draft Self-Neglect and Hoarding Policy and Procedure This has been brought to the Board for approval after revisions, following feedback from the previous Board meeting. The tool supported in these procedures will be badged SNARM, and is based on the VARMM model which has proved successful. IB noted different MDT's that take place outside the Vulnerable Persons Panel that IB chairs that have a variety of different schemes and processes which could be captured in the policy and procedure. <p>AC asked about tracking the activity on this, the group agreed, AC to write into the procedure that people copy the forms and send through to AC to monitor the implementation.</p> <p>The Board approved the policy and the procedure, and agreed to a review in 6 months. No training programme has been arranged yet but Shabnum Amin is looking to develop a programme for people who feel they need it which may be in place in early 2018.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revised DSAB Resolving Professional Differences Procedures AC said this was put in place in 2015; and has come to the Board with some governance amendments and as a reminder the policy exists as this hasn't been used yet. JW said it is key to advertise that it is a procedure that can be used should there be any professional differences. The group discussed about the procedure and about promoting it. The Board approved the policy. 	
8	<p><u>Items for information and new publication</u></p>	

Agenda Item 2

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <p>Safeguarding Fortnight</p> <p>JW informed the Board of the positive feedback from people who found it to be a useful exercise with positive engagement from the public and it is felt that this should be done again in 2018. There have been discussions about organising this jointly with other South Yorkshire authorities, however this may be an issue as the wider plan for the fortnight is out of the school term and will be a problem for promoting DCST. JL said this also coincides with RDaSH’s safeguarding week, and they would support the next safeguarding fortnight, citing a large number of RDaSH staff that were part of the previous safeguarding fortnight. AG suggested including the Keeping Safe Event in the next Safeguarding Fortnight and GJ suggested having it tie in with a thematic approach to which the Board agreed. PT also noted STLH’s positive feedback in engagement on the fortnight.</p> <p>Board development day</p> <p>This will be in late January to early February 2018 and part of the theme will be looking at integrating parts of the Boards. JW stated he is happy to take any suggestions going forward and suggested that the Children’s Board have a development day separately for now, but there may be a time when a development day is needed to involve all 3 Board in the future.</p> <p>The Board discussed ideas for the DSAB development day including the development of MASH, trauma going forward, people who don’t fit into services with complex lives and survivors of traumatic events with a speaker. JW concluded that these suggestions need to be included in a plan.</p> 	
9	<p><u>Any other business – Board meeting to close at 4pm</u></p> <p>The Emergency bombshell desktop exercise will commence on the 8th February. JW provided a statement on the exercise, encouraging people to attend asking them to prioritise if possible. PT cited that it collided with the Health and Wellbeing Board so the exercise may need to be re-arranged. AC to rearrange Emergency bombshell exercise.</p>	
10	<p><u>Date and Time of Next Meeting</u></p> <p>22nd March 2018 – 9:30am to 1:00pm</p>	
	<p><u>Date Checked by Chairperson:</u></p> <p><u>Signature:</u></p>	

Agenda Item 2

	<u>Date minutes distributed:</u>	
--	---	--

MEMBERSHIP and ATTENDANCE LIST

Key	Name	Service	08.03.17	22.05.17	04.09.17	27.11.17
JW	Dr John Woodhouse	Independent Chair	✓	✓	✓	✓
DA	Damien Allen	DMBC	\	✓	✓	R
AC	Choppin, Angelique	DSABs	✓	✓	✓	✓
GJ	Griff Jones	DMBC	\	\	A	✓
BH	Hotchkiss, Bill	DMBC	A	✓	✓	✓
HJ	Jenkins, Hywel	DMBC	✓	N	✓	N
AR	Russell, Andrew	Doncaster CCG	✓	✓	✓	✓
RD	Richard Dickinson	DBTH	\	✓	✓	✓
RF	Rosie Faulkner	DSCB	✓	✓	A	✓
AG	Andrew Goodall	Healthwatch Doncaster	\	\	✓	✓
AP	Anna Parish	HMP Lindholme	✓	\	\	N
WJ	Wendy Joseph	RDaSH	\	✓	R	R
LS	Luke Shepherd	SYCRC	\	\	✓	R
DP	Dawn Peet	S Y FIRE	✓	A	N	N
DT	Dan Thorpe	SYP	\	\	A	R
PT	Paul Tanney	St.Leger Homes	✓	✓	✓	✓
RB	Rachael Blake	Cllr , DMBC	\	\	A	A
TS	Tracey Slater	NHS England	✓	R	A	✓
SA	Sally Adegbembo	SY Probation	\	\	✓	A

Agenda Item 2

Key		Key	
✓	Attended	R	Sent Representative
\	Not applicable	N	Non-attendance, no apology or representative
A	Sent Apologies		

Glossary

CQC – Care Quality Commission

DBTH – Doncaster and Bassetlaw Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

DCCG – Doncaster Clinical Commissioning Group

DMBC – Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council

DSCB – Doncaster Safeguarding Childrens Board

DSAB – Doncaster Safeguarding Adults Board

DSAH – Doncaster Safeguarding Adults Hub

HMPS – Her Majesty's Prison Service

CRC – Community Rehabilitation Company

RDaSH – Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust

SSDP – Safer Stronger Doncaster Partnership

SYF&R – South Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Service

SAR – Safeguarding Adults Review

SYP – South Yorkshire Police